

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/631,877	07/31/2003	Jes Tougaard Gram	CU-3620	1811	
26530	7590 03/28/2006		EXAMINER		
LADAS & P	ARRY LLP MICHIGAN AVENUE		ORTIZ, ANGELA Y		
SUITE 1600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60604		1732		

DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				()		
Office Action Summary		pplication No.	Applicant(s)			
		0/631,877	GRAM, JES TOUG	AARD		
		xaminer	Art Unit			
		ngela Ortiz	1732			
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	'ess		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM To extensions of time may be available under the properties of the state of the	HE MAILING DATE visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) is communication. num statutory period will a per reply will, by statute, cau onths after the mailing date.	E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO). In no event, however, may a reply be ti pply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ise the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 July :</u>	<u>2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in cond	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the p	practice under Ex p	oarte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to res	_ is/are withdrawn to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 July Applicant may not request that any Replacement drawing sheet(s) inc 11) The oath or declaration is object	2003 is/are: a)⊠ a objection to the draw uding the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. Se is required if the drawing(s) is of	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a call a) All b) Some * c)⊠ None 1.⊠ Certified copies of the pr 2.□ Certified copies of the pr	of: ority documents had ority documents had pies of the priority national Bureau (P	ave been received. ave been received in Applicat documents have been receiv CT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National St	tage		
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summan		•		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Pate Patent Application (PTO-1	52)		

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant has provided priority information inconsistent with PTO records; the oath in this application lists the date of 09/355,448 as July 28, 1999 – however, our records indicate a filing date of February 17, 2000.

Applicant has not complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.78, since the oath, declaration or application data sheet does not acknowledge the correct filing date. If the application number is correct, a new oath in compliance with rule 78 should be filed.

Claim Construction

Every attempt is being made to advance prosecution of the instant application; therefore, even though claims 4-10 are improper multiple dependent claims, claims 5-10 are interpreted as apparatus claims for purposes of the restriction below. It is anticipated that applicant will file an amendment to the claims to place them in conformity to US practice. Should applicant not amend the claims, only claims 1-3 will be examined.

Claim Objections

Claims 4-10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from other multiple dependent

Art Unit: 1732

claims. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-4, drawn to a procedure or process, classified in class 264, subclass 255.
- II. Claims 5-10, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 425, subclass 117.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as one with means for turning, or means for molding, or one with means for producing one casting, and is used more than once.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 1732

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732